



A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

Dr. Taufeeq Saleeh Aloriny¹, Dr. Tareq Ali Alqahtani²

¹Consultant In Restoration Dentistry,
Presidency of State Security Riyadh
KSA

²General Practitioner Dentistry,
Presidency of State Security Riyadh
KSA



Corresponding Author: Dr. Taufeeq
Saleeh Aloriny

Abstract

Background : Oral Leukoplakia is a common pre-cancerous condition observed worldwide which often leaves physician in dilemma regarding its benign or malignant nature.

Background: Oral Leukoplakia is the most common potentially malignant lesion of the oral mucosa. It is seen most frequently in middle-aged and older men, with an increasing prevalence with age. Less than one percent of men below the age of 30 years have oral leukoplakia.

The etiology is multifactorial but the most common cause associated with oral leukoplakia is tobacco consumption. Biopsy is the method followed to rule out its malignant nature which is invasive and painful. Patient has to wait for the histopathology report which is usually available after a week, and sometimes even a repeat biopsy is needed.

AIM: To establish the prevalence and assess the sociodemographic data along with clinical presentation of Oral Leukoplakia associated with tobacco consumption from the records of the department.

Methods : Here we did a detailed prospective study on morphological characteristics of 156 patients of oral leukoplakia and compared these with the biopsy outcome. Results were analysed statistically by chi square test using IBM SSPS 28 package and p values were calculated. P values less than 0.05 were taken to be significant.

Results : Smoking and tobacco chewing like bidi, cigarette habits, age of patient, duration, location, margins, tenderness, texture, tendency to peel easily and Induration of Oral Leukoplakia were found to be statistically significant.

Conclusion : Complete morphological description of Oral Leukoplakia provides significant insight to its nature during its first encounter with physician with confirmatory results provided by the biopsy.

Keywords: Arecanut, Bidi, Oral Leukoplakia, Oral Precancer, Tobacco, White lesion

Copyright: © 2021 The Authors. Published by Medical Editor and Educational Research Publishers Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

Introduction

Leukoplakia is defined as a white patch or plaque that cannot be ascribed to any other clinical disease. World Health Organization defines Leukoplakia as “Clinical white patches that cannot be wiped off the mucosa and cannot be classified clinically or microscopically as another specific disease entity”.¹ There are many white lesions over also mucosa. Also, most of lesions of oral mucosa are white in colour. So, physicians are often left in dilemma about their nature. Oral Leukoplakia is a relatively rare disease with an estimated prevalence of less than 1%.² Oral cancers are believed to be preceded by precancerous lesions, defined clinically based on visual examination (a white patch in the mouth), erythroplakia (a red patch in the mouth), and oral submucous fibrosis (irreversible fibrosis of the submucous tissue) as well as histopathologically based on the presence of dysplasia.³⁻⁶ Histopathological confirmation should be sought in all cases of leukoplakia. Even leukoplakia that may show only dysplasia may still contain carcinomatous foci.¹ Despite the fact that diagnosis is possible by history and clinical examination, there are several knowledge gaps regarding the natural history of oral precancer and the clinical management of patients with precancer.^{7,8} The rate of progression of oral leukoplakia to invasive oral cancer has varied widely in literature from 0% to 36%^{3,9-12}. These existing lacunae in the scientific knowledge of the most common oral pre-cancerous lesion highlights the need of more scientific contribution to this area.

Methods

Study Design

This was a prospective study. The study was conducted after ethical approval from Institutional Ethical Committee.

Inclusion Criteria

Patients of all ages and both sexes presenting to ENT and Dental Outpatient Departments who had white patches on oral cavity examination which

could be peeled off by gentle pressure of Lack’s tongue depressor and which could not be attributed to any other disease during 1st January 2021- 1st January 2023 at a Dental Department, Primary Health Care Riyadh KSA were included in this study.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with known dermatological and auto immune conditions which present with white patches of oral cavity.

Study Procedure

Informed and written consent was taken from all the patients satisfying the inclusion criteria before enrolling them in the study. Detailed history was taken. Morphological characters namely location, colour, size, margins, border, texture, tenderness, induration, tendency to bleed on touch and whether it could be peeled easily with slight pressure of oral speculum and duration of lesion were noted. Basic blood investigations including CBC, PT, INR, HBsAg, HCV and HIV were sent. After availability of reports, Xylocaine sensitivity was done, the area of lesion and surrounding the lesion was anesthetised, A layer of mucosal lesion was gently peeled off with sharp edges of a glass slide till a healthy bleeding base was visible. It was uniformly spread over another glass slide to get a thin smear composed of a single layer of cells in thickness, dried and stained with eosin-haematoxylin and sent for histopathological examination.

Histopathological results regarding malignancy were compared separately with each morphological characteristic applying chi square test using IBM SSPS 28. The lesions showing any sign of dysplasia were considered malignant while non dysplastic lesions were considered benign. Variables with p value less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The results were compared with previous literature and conclusion drawn.

Result & Observations

1. Sex Distribution

Table 1.1: Sex Distribution in patients of Oral Leukoplakia. Male: Female Ratio= 1.3:

Sex Distribution	Benign	Malignant	Total
------------------	--------	-----------	-------

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

Male	61	27	88
Female	55	13	68
Total	116	40	156

2. Age Distribution

Table 1.2: Age Distribution of patients of oral Leukoplakia

Age distribution (Years)	Benign	Malignant	Total
0-9	0	0	0
10-19	0	0	0
20-29	7	0	7
30-39	9	2	11
40-49	62	5	67
50-59	27	5	32
60-69	10	16	26
>=70	1	12	13
Total	116	40	156

3. Duration

Table 1.3: Duration of Oral Leukoplakia

Duration (Years)	Benign	Malignant	Total
<5	79	7	86
5-<10	26	10	36
>=10	11	23	34
Total	116	40	156

4. History of smoking/tobacco chewing

Table 1.4: Relationship between Oral Leukoplakia and smoking and tobacco chewing. Odds ratio for presence of malignancy in smokers and tobacco chewers is 10

History of Smoking/Tobacco Chewing	Benign	Malignant	Total
Present	64	37	101
Absent	52	3	55
Total	116	40	156

5. Presence of other clinical symptoms (Pain/ burning sensation/ irritation) in oral leukoplakia

Table 1.5: Presence of other clinical symptoms in oral leukoplakia

Other Clinical symptoms	Benign	Malignant	Total
Present	28	16	44
Absent	88	24	112
Total	116	40	156

6. Location

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

Table 1.6: Location of Oral Leukoplakia

Location	Benign	Malignant	Total
Lips	2	0	2
Gingiva	4	2	6
Buccal mucosa	68	11	79
Hard palate	31	14	45
Anterior two thirds of tongue	2	7	9
Floor of mouth	8	2	10
Retromolar Trigone	1	4	5
Total	116	40	156

7. Size

Table 1.7: Size of Oral Leukoplakia

Size in centimetres	Benign	Malignant	Total
<2	6	0	6
2-4	54	12	66
>4	56	28	84
Total	116	40	156

8. Margins

Table 1.8: Margins of Oral Leukoplakia

Nature	Benign	Malignant	Total
Regular	112	0	112
Irregular	4	40	44
Total	116	40	156

9. Texture

Table 1.9: Texture of Oral Leukoplakia

Nature	Benign	Malignant	Total
Smooth	87	26	113
Rough	29	14	43
Total	116	40	156

10. Tenderness

Table 1.10: Tenderness in Oral Leukoplakia

Nature	Benign	Malignant	Total
Tender	24	28	52
Non tender	92	12	104
Total	116	40	156

11. Induration

Table 1.11: Induration in Oral Leukoplakia

Nature	Benign	Malignant	Total
--------	--------	-----------	-------

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

Indurated	12	26	38
Non indurated	104	14	118
Total	116	40	156

Discussion

Oral Leukoplakia is a predominantly white lesion of the oral mucosa than cannot be clinic pathologically characterized as any other definable lesion. It is the most common precancerous lesion of the oral mucosa. Axell T. in 1996 has defined Oral Leukoplakia as "a predominantly white lesion of the oral mucosa that cannot be characterized as any other definable lesion; some oral leukoplakia will transform into cancer".

Nicotina stomatitis, cheek and lip biting, frictional white lesion and snuff dippers lesion should not be considered as leukoplakia. The etiology of Oral Leukoplakia is multifactorial but tobacco is the main causative agent.

Study by other authors show that male and female are almost equally affected. It rarely occurs in first two decades of life. It is clear that only a minority of leukoplakias are premalignant, but the problem is to identify which are.¹ The clinical diagnosis relies on thorough history taking and oral examination.² Based on histological examination dysplasia is an indicator of malignant transformation^{13,14}. While some studies suggested that up to 60 percent of leukoplakias regress or totally disappear if tobacco use is stopped. Leukoplakias induced by smokeless tobacco may resolve if the addict abstains this habit^{13,15,16} Most studies hold view that spontaneous regression of leukoplakia is exceedingly rare. Surgical and non-surgical treatments have not been shown to be effective in preventing possible future malignant transformation^{2,17} Each leukoplakia should be biopsied irrespective of its characteristics.^{2,3} Compared to general population, there is higher incidence of oral cancer in those having Oral Leukoplakia (40.8 folds increased risk). In a study of 4886 leukoplakias, 42.5% had a history of smoking, 65.5% diagnosed by Dental Clinicians. They found 68.8 % risk of malignant transformation in leukoplakia of tongue.³ We compared final histopathological outcome with various epidemiological and morphological characters of Oral Leukoplakia and drew various

generalisations. However, biopsy must be performed in every case of leukoplakia.

Conclusion

Oral Leukoplakia, being a potentially malignant lesion, early diagnosis and treatment should be effectively carried out. The condition may remain either stationary or become severe. Dentists and Otolaryngologist play an important role in both the education of patients about the perils of Tobacco and chewing Arecanut and in the early diagnosis of high risk Oral Leukoplakia and Cancer. Our retrospective study was based only on clinical findings. We suggest the researchers to undertake ambi-directional study of larger sample size with emphasis on age & reasons for initiation of habit, clinico-pathological and histological correlation. The awareness programs at mass level shall be undertaken for Tobacco and Arecanut related health problems.

The 12 Point Criteria

1. Oral Leukoplakia cannot be easily peeled just by slight pressure of blunt tongue depressor.
2. Most of the Oral Leukoplakia are benign in nature (75%). But a significant percentage is malignant (25%).
3. Most of the Oral Leukoplakia whether benign or malignant bears no symptom other than the presence of a whitish patch. However, presence of other clinical symptoms favours presence of malignancy.
4. There is no sex predilection. However, probability of finding an Oral Leukoplakia is slightly more in males. Chances of malignancy are still higher in males
5. Incidence of Oral Leukoplakia is highest in age group of 41-50. There are negligible cases in first two decades of life. Malignant lesions start outnumbering the benign lesions as age increases.
6. Probability of malignant conversion increases with Oral Leukoplakia of longer duration.
7. Smoking and tobacco chewing strongly favours malignancy with strong association statistically significant causal relationship. (Odds ratio 10)

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

8. Buccal mucosa is the most commonly involved site. Leukoplakia of Retromolar trigone and anterior two thirds of tongue carry high malignant potential.
9. There is no statistically significant association between size of lesion and malignancy.
10. Benign lesions tend to have regular margins. Malignant lesions always have irregular margins.
11. Presence of induration and tenderness is more specific to malignant lesions. This association is statistically significant.
12. Presence of rough texture slightly favours malignancy but there is a weak statistical association.

References

1. Scully C. Leukoplakia. Ed: Crispian Scully. Oral and Maxillofacial Medicine. Churchill Livingstone.2013;28(3) p186-191.
2. Carrard VC, Van der Waal I. A Clinical Diagnosis of Oral Leukoplakia; A Guide for Dentists. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Buccal. 2018;23(1): e59-e64.
3. Chaturvedi AK, Udaltsova N, Engels EA, Katznel JA, Yanik EL, Katki HA, Lingen MW, Silverberg MJ. Oral Leukoplakia and Risk of Progression to Oral Cancer: A Population-Based Cohort Study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2020;112(10):1047-1054.
4. Napier SS, Speight PM. Natural history of potentially malignant oral lesions and conditions: an overview of the literature. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2008;37(1):1–10.
5. Chi AC, Day TA, Neville BW. Oral cavity and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma—an update. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(5):401–421.
6. Neville BW, Day TA. Oral cancer and precancerous lesions. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2002; 52(4):195–215.
7. Speight PM, Epstein J, Kujan O, et al. Screening for oral cancer—a perspective from the Global Oral Cancer Forum. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2017;123(6):680–687.
8. Moyer VA, Force U. Screening for oral cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(1):55–60.
9. Mehanna HM, Rattay T, Smith J, McConkey CC. Treatment and follow-up of oral dysplasia—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Head Neck.* 2009;31(12):1600–1609.
10. Warnakulasuriya S, Ariyawardana A. Malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia: a systematic review of observational studies. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2016;45(3):155–166.
11. Lee JJ, Hong WK, Hittelman WN, et al. Predicting cancer development in oral leukoplakia: ten years of translational research. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000;6(5):1702–1710.
12. William WN Jr, Papadimitrakopoulou V, Lee JJ, et al. Erlotinib and the risk of oral cancer: the Erlotinib Prevention of Oral Cancer (EPOC) randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 2016;2(2):209–216.
13. Deliverska EG, Petkova M. Management of Oral Leukoplakia-Analysis of the Literature. *J of IMAB.* 2017;23(1):1495-1504.
14. Kumar A, Cascarini L, McCaul JA, Kerawala CJ, Coombes D, Godden D, et al. How should we manage oral leukoplakia? *Br J Oral Maxilloofacial Surg.*2013;51(5):377-383.
15. Ioanina P, Serban T, Lelia M. Treatment approach of oral leukoplakia. Review of literature. *Med Con.* October 2013;8(3):39-43.
16. Singh SK, Gupta A, Sahu R. Non-Surgical. Management of Oral Leukoplakia. *Journal of Dentofacial Sciences.* 2013; 2(2):39-47.
17. Lodi G, Franchini R, Warnakulasuriya S, Varoni EM, Sardella A, Kerr AR. Interventions for treating oral leukoplakia to prevent oral cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;7:CD001829.
18. R.Rajendran. Oral leukoplakia(leukokeratosis) : Compilation of facts and figures.*Journal of Post Graduate Medicine.* 2008;8(2):58-68
19. Burket. *Textbook of Oral Medicine – Diagnosis and Treatment: 10th edition.*
20. Burket: *Textbook of Oral Medicine.Diagnosis and Treatment: 11th edition.* Burket
21. Shafer, Hine and Levy. *Textbook of Oral Pathology: 4 th edition.*
22. Shafer, Hine and Levy: *Textbook of Oral Pathology: 5 th edition.*
23. Mirbod SM, Ahing SI. Tobacco associated lesions of the oral cavity: part I. Nonmalignant lesions. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2000; 66(5): 252-256

A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA

24. Mani NJ. Tobacco smoking and associated oral lesions. *Ann Dent* 1984;43(1):6–14.
25. Stich HF, Hornby AP, Mathew B, Sankaranarayanan R, Nair MK. Response of oral leukoplakia to the administration of vitamin A. *Cancer Letter* 1988;40:93-101.
26. R.Rajendran. Oral leukoplakia (leukokeratosis): Compilation of facts and figures. *Journal of Post Graduate Medicine*. 2008; 8(2) :58-68
27. Burket. *Textbook of Oral Medicine – Diagnosis and Treatment*: 10th edition.

How to Cite : Aloriny, D. S. , & Alqahtani, D. A. (2024). A Primary Health Care Study on Oral Leukoplakia in State Security Riyadh KSA. *Jour Med Resh and Health Sci*, 7(2),3013–3019. <https://doi.org/10.52845/JMRHS/2024-7-2-2>